Exhibit 65 Reed Deposition

Pages: 5, 12, 13, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52

Dated: May 5, 2021

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHARLES JOSEPH FREITAG, : NO. 2:19-cv-05750-JMG JR., as Administrator of :

JR., as Administrator of
the ESTATE OF CHARLES

JOSEPH FREITAG, SR., :

Plaintiff

:

VS.

BUCKS COUNTY; PRIMECARE : CIVIL ACTION - LAW

MEDICAL, INC.; STEPHAN

BRAUTIGAM, PMHNP;

JESSICA MAHONEY, PSY.D.; :

AVIA JAMES, LPC; :
CHRISTINA PENGE, LPC; :

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER
MOODY; CORRECTIONAL

OFFICER MURPHY; and : JUDGE JOHN M. GALLAGHER

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER

YOUNG,

Defendants :

ZOOM DEPOSITION OF KELLY REED

DATE AND TIME: Wednesday, May 5, 2021

at 1:15 p.m.

KAPLAN LEAMAN & WOLFE
COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SUPPORT
230 SOUTH BROAD STREET, SUITE 1303
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19102
(215) 922-7112 1-877-KLW-DEPO
www.klwreporters.com

			Page 5
1	dispense v	with so	ome of my early instructions and
2	description	on of t	the case, since you've already heard them.
3	If at any	point	, however, you'll you feel like I'm
4	asking you	ı a que	estion where I've left something out, you
5	don't have	e the d	details, let me know and then I'll go back
6	and explai	in.	
7		A.	Perfect.
8		Q.	Just to to wrap that up, though, do
9	you have a	a genei	cal understanding of what this case is
10	about and	the in	ncident that it concerns?
11		A.	Yes, I do now.
12		Q.	Very good. Do you have have you ever
13	testified	in a d	deposition before?
14		A.	No.
15		Q.	Have you ever testified in court before?
16		A.	As a victim.
17		Q.	So in a in a criminal matter. Is that
18	correct?		
19		A.	Yes.
20		Q.	How long ago was that?
21		A.	A couple years now.
22		Q.	Generally, you understand what it means
23	to testify	y under	oath. Can I assume that?
24		A.	Yes.
25		Q.	And you understand that this proceeding,

	Page 12
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Haven't left for any other jobs. Is that
3	correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Could you walk me through then the
6	various responsibilities and titles that you've had from
7	'03 through the present?
8	A. So I started as a Case Manager. That
9	was in '03. I was employed as a Case Manager for about
10	11 years, and then I was promoted to Community
11	Corrections Manager. That was over at a separate
12	building, the Community Corrections Center, but still
13	under the DOC. Did that for about four years, and then
14	I was promoted again to back then it was Assistant
15	Warden.
16	Q. And that title changed. Is that correct?
17	A. Yes, it changed three times.
18	Q. And now it is Deputy Warden of my
19	goodness, you've told me seven times now and I forget
20	Deputy Warden of Treatment?
21	A. Close. It's one of the three. No.
22	It's Deputy Superintendent of Programs now.
23	MR. KOLANSKY: What did you have for
24	lunch, Jon?
25	MR. FEINBERG: Not enough, apparently.

	Page 13
1	So, let's well, I'm not one to stand on ceremony,
2	Jeff, as you know, so titles don't mean anything to me.
3	BY MR. FEINBERG:
4	Q. So, Ms. Reed, let's let's discuss now
5	the the responsibilities in your current job. I take
6	it, despite the change in titles, you've had the same
7	responsibilities. Is that correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Could you tell me what you do on a
10	day-to-day basis?
11	A. So I oversee all the treatment areas,
12	Medical, Mental Health, the Case Managers, Drug and
13	Alcohol. I assist with policy. I ensure that all
14	departments are up and running. I conduct grant writing
15	and grant reporting. The list goes on. I also oversee
16	PREA. I'm the PREA Coordinator at the moment.
17	Q. You mentioned the first two items you
18	mentioned were that you oversee Medical and Mental
19	Health. Did I hear you correctly?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Now, obviously, I'm aware that PrimeCare
22	Medical provides the medical and mental health
23	practitioners who work in that unit. Is that correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. What what involvement do you, on the

	Page 27
1	professionals refer to this generically as bad news
2	category. Have you ever heard that phrase before?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And and and maybe it sounds
5	obvious, but any type of incidents, including denial of
6	bail, denial of parole, receiving a sentence, getting
7	convicted, those are all consequences that could increase
8	the risk of suicide. Is that correct?
9	A. Absolutely.
10	Q. And to show you specifically the in
11	the policy, the text that's highlighted there why
12	don't I just read it. Inmates may become suicidal at any
13	time during their incarceration. Suicidal behavior is
14	more likely at critical periods of time, including
15	commitment and the first several days thereafter, court
16	hearings, sentencings and so on.
17	Did what I just read, does is that
18	consistent with your basic understanding of how risks of
19	suicide may be present in a in an inmate population?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. All right. Given that knowledge I'm
22	sorry, before I even get there, can I assume that you're
23	here on Bucks Bucks on behalf of Bucks County, that
24	Bucks County was fully aware of the increased risk during
25	these time periods?

		Page 28
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. And I a	assume Bucks County would be aware
3	that failing to address	s risks at these time periods could
4	increase the risk of su	uicide or self-harm. Is is that
5	correct?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. And the	at risk was was obvious to Bucks
8	County. Is that correct	ct?
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. What pr	ractices were in place in August of
11	2018 to address this in	ncreased risk of suicide or
12	self-harm when people o	came back from court at these
13	critical time periods?	
14	A. So Case	e Managers are required to touch
15	base with the inmates of	once they're sentenced or even
16	after any other court of	date if we find a need. The only
17	thing is, is our policy	does not quote the amount of
18	time that they like	should they check in immediately,
19	should do they have	72 hours. So there's no
20	timeframe noted, and the	nere still isn't any noted to this
21	day.	
22	However	, when they do come back from
23	court, if they are sent	tenced to a lengthy sentence, our
24	Records Department usua	ally flags it, contacts
25	Administration, as well	l as the person that's on Case

	Page 29
1	Manager-wise, so that way we can touch base with them and
2	make a referral, if necessary.
3	If Mental Health is not around, we would
4	certainly put them in a on a watch level. If we
5	believed that they presented in a way that we deemed
6	appropriate, the person be placed on watch.
7	Q. Is it fair to say that in August of 2018,
8	the expectation or any decisions to be made by watch
9	would be made by correctional staff?
10	A. Yes. If Mental Health was not present,
11	absolutely, we would have to evaluate any person and
12	place them on a watch status if we thought it was
13	appropriate.
14	Q. I'm going to come back in a moment to
15	talk about or actually, that's the last topic about
16	whether Mental Health was available.
17	A. Okay.
18	Q. My understanding is that in 2018 Mental
19	Health left the facility no no later than 4:00 p.m.
20	Is that your recollection, as well?
21	A. I would like to say yes. I'm not a
22	hundred percent sure though.
23	Q. I'll show you some documents to confirm
24	that we're on the same page there. All right. Before we
25	do that, though let me ask you, when people come back

Page 30
from court, is was there a typical time first, even
more basic, how are prisoners pre-COVID, how were
prisoners transported from the prison to court?
A. By the Sheriff's Department and usually
it is around the same time every single day. Our p.m.
court run came back maybe 3:00, 4:00 o'clock, sometimes
later, so it did vary a little bit, but it was right
around that timeframe. By the time they were back in
process, et cetera, before they can be seen by anybody
else, it it does take a little bit of time.
Q. All right. So if they come back at 3:00
or 4:00 p.m., it's usually after 4:00 p.m. that they're
actually back in the facility and could be seen by
someone. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So when a in that time period, again,
back in 2018, for someone in that position, coming back
in the late afternoon, decisions about watch would be
made by correctional staff, as we've established. Is
that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, obviously, correctional staff don't
have mental health training. Is that right?
A. No, we do, it's just limited, not to the
extent that, you know, a psychiatrist or psychologist

	Page 32
1	their checks. Is that correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Now, I know this is outside of the topics
4	that we're going to address with you today, but let me
5	ask. Have you ever heard any discussion, in your
6	position, about problems with Correctional Officers or
7	Inmate Monitors complying with checks?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. When did you hear about those problems?
10	A. Throughout my career. The number of
11	watches has always been an issue. There is a lot of
12	complaints. Whether or not they were completing all
13	their tasks, I can't really comment on that because I
14	never oversaw that directly, but I can say that officers
15	complained on a regular basis.
16	Nowadays there are still complaints, and
17	from time to time we do see issues where we have to
18	redirect staff to correct the problem.
19	Q. Let me let me drill down on that. You
20	said the complaints have to do with the number of
21	watches. I think I can make an assumption about why
22	that's a complaint, but could you articulate it for me,
23	as you understand it, at least?
24	A. The lack of time to make sure that
25	they're following policy and procedure accurately.

	Page 33
1	Q. Got it. All right. So in other words,
2	officers are saying to you, in essence, we have to watch
3	so many people on our units we don't have time to do it
4	all. Is that correct?
5	A. Sometimes, yes, with all the other daily
6	duties that come about, yes.
7	Q. And the complaint is that because they
8	don't have time well, strike that. Then apparently
9	the the concern, from your perspective, is that if
10	they don't have time to do all the watches, then they're
11	not complying with what they're supposed to do on
12	under the watch. Is that correct?
13	A. That's a concern, yes.
14	Q. You mentioned that you've heard that
15	complaint throughout your career since you started in
16	2003. Is that correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Can you can you even estimate for me
19	how many times you've heard that complaint?
20	A. Probably hundreds.
21	Q. So hundreds of times between 2003 and the
22	present you've heard officers say, we just don't have
23	enough time to do the watches we're supposed to do. Is
24	that correct?
25	A. Correct.

	Page 34
1	Q. What actions, if any, has the
2	Administration taken to address those complaints?
3	A. I can't speak about my 11 years as a
4	Case Manager, but when I came back over here in the
5	Deputy spot, I mean, we supplied an extra officer on
6	certain modules to ensure that all their duties are
7	completed, especially if they are saying that they
8	cannot complete X, Y and Z, whether it includes watches
9	or not.
10	I mean, there there's a lot
11	of things that we have done. We we do checks with the
12	supervisors now. Even if Mr. Nottingham commented on
13	this, you still want me to explain a little bit?
14	Q. And before you get into it, can can I
15	just you said at the beginning, but I didn't make a
16	note of it, when did you start in the Deputy
17	Superintendent position?
18	A. Two years ago in
19	Q. Okay. So from 2019?
20	A. '19. Sorry, I'm talking over you.
21	Q. That's quite all right. Please continue,
22	and you can tell me about what else has been done since
23	you took that position in 2019.
24	A. Okay. We sent sergeants down to the
25	modules to make sure that all the watches are accurate

	Page 35
1	and reflect appropriately in the computer, as well as
2	what they have on the module.
3	PrimeCare now sends out a digital form
4	through email, so we have it in writing versus word of
5	mouth. We're documenting more. The conversation saying,
6	hey, this person is off watch, so it's now documenting
7	who who that was relayed to and by who.
8	There's just a lot more effort into make
9	making sure it goes smoothly.
10	Q. If I understand your testimony then,
11	prior to you being in that position in 2019, from your
12	understanding, these complaints about officers' inability
13	to comply with their watch obligations were made with
14	regularity all throughout your career. Is that correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Are you aware of any steps that were
17	taken before 2019 to address those concerns?
18	A. I am not aware.
19	Q. Since you've taken those measures from
20	2019 through the present, have those complaints
21	decreased?
22	A. I would like to say yes.
23	Q. Now, when you say I your answer is
24	a beautiful one, and I I understand that you,
25	obviously, have some aspirations in your position, so my

		Page 37
1	obligations. Is	that correct?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Before you became the Deputy
4	Superintendent i	n 2019, given your position, I take it
5	you didn't have	any authority to implement the procedures
6	that you've desc	ribed. Is that correct?
7	Α.	Correct.
8	Q.	Who who did have authority at that
9	point?	
10	Α.	It was Lillian Budd.
11	Q.	Oh, got it, okay. She was the Assistant
12	Warden?	
13	Α.	Correct.
14	Q.	So let's go back to I think we got on
15	this topic because I asked you that when a Case Manager	
16	makes an assignment of a watch, the assumption that is	
17	built in is that the officer will actually do the job.	
18	Is that right?	
19	Α.	Correct.
20	Q.	And it sounds like, given what you've
21	described, that	assumption back in 2018 was not a not
22	always a valid a	ssumption. Is that correct?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Because there were it was known to
25	Bucks County at	that point, in 2018, that the watches may

	Page 38
1	not be completed as required. Is that correct?
2	A. I don't wanna say that they're they
3	weren't going to be completed, but it was never
4	validated after the fact.
5	Q. Got it. Okay. So and let me restate
6	my question and then use your your language. The
7	assumption that the watch would be completed was not a
8	valid one because no one was doing the checking and
9	monitoring to ensure that officers were doing their job.
10	Is that correct?
11	A. It was their duty to do so, but I don't
12	believe there was any follow through from Administration
13	to confirm that everybody is following rules and
14	regulations of the facility.
15	Q. Got it. And for that reason, what you've
16	just said, that's why once the assumption was made on
17	August 24th, 2018, that officers would check on Mr.
18	Freitag, that was not a valid assumption. Is that
19	correct?
20	MR. KOLANSKY: Objection to the form of
21	the question.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	BY MR. FEINBERG:
24	Q. Now, so we've discussed that Mr. Freitag
25	was placed on a Level 3 watch when he came back after he

	Page 45
1	August, 2018, the nature of and any reasons for any such
2	changes.
3	I take it you're prepared to testify
4	about that topic. Is that correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. We've already you've already described
7	for me that back in 2018, your expectation is that people
8	who were coming back from court in the afternoon would
9	get back after 4 o'clock. Is that right?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And your understanding is that Mental
12	Health staff were not available after 4:00 p.m. Is that
13	correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Let's do and just to review something
16	that we touched on before, but to dive in a little
17	deeper, the mental health training that Case Managers
18	have, would you agree, is not sufficient to fully assess
19	someone's risk of suicide? Is that correct?
20	A. Yes, agreed.
21	Q. Are you are you familiar with a
22	document or a psychological instrument called a Suicide
23	Risk Assessment?
24	A. I'm aware of it, but I don't believe
25	I've ever seen it.

	Page 48
1	A. No, I don't recall.
2	Q. To my understanding, there has been a
3	change made that Mental Health staff are now available
4	until at least 5:00 p.m. for people when people return
5	from court. Is that your understanding, as well?
6	A. Yes, but unfortunately they recently
7	lost a few employees, so I'm not sure which person was
8	responsible for staying until 5 o'clock, so I can't
9	comment. It was just recent. And then they're also
10	losing another individual. So I would hope that they're
11	here to 5:00 still and managing, but I I don't I
12	can't really comment on that today.
13	Q. Got it. Okay. So so that's a
14	personnel issue as opposed to a policy issue. Is that
15	correct?
16	A. Right. It's their intention that
17	somebody was here till 5:00.
18	Q. And you used the phrase I think I
19	heard you say, hopefully they'll fill that position. Is
20	that right?
21	A. Yes. You know, sometimes it does take
22	time to fill positions.
23	Q. Sure. Can you articulate for me then
24	why, from your perspective in your role, it's important
25	to have Mental Health staff available when people return

	Page 49
1	from court?
2	A. I think we should have Mental Health
3	staff available throughout the evening, honestly.
4	Unfortunately, a lot of issues arise in the evening when
5	they are not here. And we do have to make judgment
6	calls and, of course, we overestimate, for lack of a
7	better word. Like we would put somebody on a Level 1
8	when, in reality, the person should only be on a Level 2
9	just to be cautious. So it would be beneficial if we
10	actually had Mental Health staff here longer throughout
11	the day.
12	Q. Got it. Are there ever situations where
13	you're concerned that you might be underestimating?
14	A. Not typically.
15	Q. One of the set of facts that we've
16	discussed in the Freitag case and let me I'm going
17	to make some representations to you about the facts in
18	the case and Counsel can feel free to object, I'm just
19	giving you my understanding is that Mr. Freitag was in
20	custody between June, early June and August 25th of 2018.
21	And throughout that time he communicated to Mental Health
22	staff that he was deeply anxious about his upcoming
23	sentencing. Were you aware of any of those facts before?
24	A. No.
25	Q. And early on in the period of his

	Page 50
1	incarceration, he advised Mental Health staff that he
2	wanted to see someone following his sentencing. Can I
3	assume you were not aware of that, as well?
4	A. I was not aware.
5	Q. Because his sentencing was scheduled to
6	take place on a Friday, August 24th of 2018, the earliest
7	appointment that could be made at that time for him to
8	see a mental health practitioner was on the following
9	Monday, the morning of August 27th. Were you aware of
10	those facts?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Dr. Abbey Cassidy in her deposition
13	several weeks ago, I believe testified that given Mr.
14	Freitag's presentation over the past over the previous
15	two and a half months before his death, it would have
16	been clinically appropriate for him to be seen
17	immediately upon return from court. Again, that's my
18	representation. Had you ever heard that before?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Okay. I I make all those factual
21	representations to you to lead up to this question.
22	Following or at any time in your position since January
23	of 2019, has there ever been any discussion about the
24	fact that you've gotta have mental health care available
25	to conduct risk assessments with people who they've spent

	Page 51
1	months seeing?
2	A. On top of that, Abbey has reached out to
3	case management staff, as well, in the event her staff
4	isn't here to make them aware, hey, when this person
5	goes to court, this is the level appropriate level
6	they need. I don't recall that ever happening in the
7	past.
8	Q. So that's something that's happened
9	recently since you took your position. Is that correct?
10	A. Maybe even before that, but as a Case
11	Manager, I remember that never happening.
12	Q. So and you may not have the basis to
13	know this, but are you are you making an assumption
14	that that may be something that happened after Mr.
15	Freitag's death in August of 2018?
16	MR. KOLANSKY: Objection, form of the
17	question.
18	BY MR. FEINBERG:
19	Q. He's just putting that on the record
20	A. Oh.
21	Q but you can answer the question.
22	A. Okay. Yes, that would be my assumption.
23	Q. And it sounds like, from your
24	perspective, a prisoner who has a a history of mental
25	illness, when they come back from court, they should be

	Page 52
1	seen. Is that correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	MR. KOLANSKY: Objection. Outside the
4	scope of the witness's testimony.
5	BY MR. FEINBERG:
6	Q. Can let me do this. I've asked
7	I've asked the parties to provide me with records of when
8	this change was made to have an employee available in the
9	late afternoon following court, and the only thing that I
10	think I've seen to date is a document that I'm pulling up
11	now I think this is the first time I'm introducing
12	it is Exhibit P-30.
13	(Exhibit shown.)
14	This is a an email first, do you
15	see, Ms. Reed, Exhibit P-30 in front of you?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Take a moment just to read there's a
18	lot of redactions here, but take a moment to read this
19	text and let me know when you finish.
20	MR. KOLANSKY: Jon, can I ask just where
21	this came from? Did it come from us?
22	MR. FEINBERG: No, it came from John
23	Ninosky's office earlier in the case.
24	MR. KOLANSKY: Gotcha.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.